

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,738		01/23/2002	Eiji Sato	10517/115	3279
23838	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON			FLETCHER, MARLON T		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2837	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r		1/2	18				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>"</u>				
	10/052,738	SATO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marlon T Fletcher	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	CINIO OCT TO EVOIDE AND						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	January 2004.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-10 and 12-14</u> is/are pending	- ''						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,7-10 and 12-14</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		· ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sı	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No/s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/052,738 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondou et al. (6,377,017) in view of Kimura et al. (5,739,650) and Atarashi (6,700,400).

As recited in claims 1 and 10, Kondou et al. disclose a driver circuit for driving a permanent-magnet electric motor, comprising: an inverter (13) for generating an electric current to be applied to the permanent magnet motor, according to a commanded voltage value applied thereto; a motor-drive-current detector (16, 17) operable to detect the drive current of the motor; a current detector operable to detect a d-axis current and a q-axis current which are respectively an exciting current component and a torque current component of the detected drive current (column 5, lines 33-45); and a controller operable to calculate a d-axis current difference between the detected d-axis current and a commanded d-axis current value, and a q-axis current difference between the detected q-axis current and a commanded q-axis current value, said controller being further operable to calculate a d-axis difference signal which is a function of a d-axis input voltage of the motor, and a q-axis difference signal which is a function of the q-axis input voltage and is not a function of the d-axis input voltage, said controller controlling said inverter on the basis

Art Unit: 2837

of the calculated d-axis and q-axis difference signals, such that the d-axis and q-axis difference signals are zeroed (column 5, line 46 through column 6, line 10). Kondou et al. (as recited in claims 5 and 9) further, disclose a current-difference calculator (41)operable to calculate a d-axis current difference between the detected d-axis current and a commanded d-axis current value, and a q-axis current difference (42) between the detected q-axis current and a commanded q-axis current value; a non-interference processor (43) operable to calculate a d-axis difference signal which is a function of a d-axis input voltage of the motor and is not a function of a q axis input voltage of the motor, and a q-axis difference signal which is a function of the q-axis input voltage and is not a function of the d-axis input voltage; and an inverter controller (46, 47) operable to control said inverter on the basis of the calculated d-axis and q-axis difference signals, such that the d-axis and q-axis difference signals are zeroed (column 7, line 55 through column 8, line 20).

Kondou et al. do not Xd as the d-axis difference signal and xq as the q-axis difference signal, based on factors including inductance and resistance.

However, Kimura et al. disclose a Xd as the d-axis difference signal and xq as the q-axis difference signal, based on factors including inductance and resistance as a well known teaching as discussed in column 3, line 50 through column 4, line 10.

Atarashi disclose the d-axis difference signal and the q-axis difference signal, based on factors including inductance and resistance as discussed in column 21, lines 49-55.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings of Kimura et al. and Atarashi with the apparatus of Kondou et al., because the teachings provide the same wherein the factors of resistance and inductance are used to provide the difference signals of the d-axis and the q-axis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 3, 5, 7-10, and 12-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107.

Page 5

MTF April 18, 2004